UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,921	11/04/2005	Frederick Leslie Brown	CUNANT 1716US	4319
20210 DAVIS & BUJ	7590 08/30/201 OLD, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAN	T STREET	WILLIAMS, MAURICE L		
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/555,921	BROWN, FREDERICK LESLIE	
-	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	ding (amendmer	<u>nt received)</u>
(1) <u>MAURICE WILLIAMS</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Bujold</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>24 August 2010</u>	Time: <u>3 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a) rejection			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: Callan (US 2003/0132038)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Paul N. Dickson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3616			
/MAURICE WILLIAMS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611 (Ar	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413B) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Application No.

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100826

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that MPEP 2127 applies to patent applications, which are distinguished from patent publications. The case focused on in that section was decided before the advent of patent publications. Additionally, section 102e provides for 'an invention described in...an application for a patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent'. As such, the Callan reference can be applied to the rejection of the claims.